



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/812,754		03/20/2001	Jeffery Davis	10010106-1	10010106-1 8894	
22878	7590	08/19/2003				
		OLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599 M/S DL429 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599				GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20 (22, 11 (2	,, 00			2672		
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/812,754	DAVIS ET AL.	\bigcirc					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2672						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06/1</u>	<u>6/2003</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-24 is/are pending in the ap	•							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		aminer.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has been red	ceived.	· •					
Attachment(s)	. ,	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO						
Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

- This office action is responsive to the following communications: Application, filed 03/20/2001, IDS, paper #2, filed 03/20/2001; Amendment A, filed 06/16/2003.
 This action is non-final.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 19 and 23 are independent claims. Claim 5 has been canceled. Claims 1, 6-8, 13-15 and 19 have been amended.
- 3. The present title of the application is "Scrolling Method Using Screen Pointing Device" (as originally filed).
- 4. Examiner withdraws the rejection of claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Becker, U.S. Patent Number 6,337,694, and applies the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 6-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tiphane, U.S. Patent Number 5,805,161, "System and Method for Data Processing Enhanced Ergonomic Scrolling", class 345/786, 09/08/1998.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 1, a method of scrolling through information displayed on a display screen . . . comprising: providing a first plurality of user selectable scrolling zones on the display screen . . . associated with a scrolling technique and corresponding to scrolling in a first direction; (Tiphane discloses in figures 4A and 4B and in col. 5, lines 38-50); providing a second plurality of user selectable scrolling zones on the display screen, each scrolling zone in the second scrolling zones associated with a scrolling technique and corresponding to scrolling in a second direction . . . ; (Tiphane discloses in figures 4A and 4B and in col. 5, lines 38-50) receiving zone selection information identifying a first one of the scrolling zones selected by a user with the screen pointing device; (Tiphane discloses using a pointing device to select the scroll box from the scroll box menu, col. 5, lines 26-50); scrolling through the displayed information based on the scrolling technique associated with the selected scrolling zone. (Tiphane discloses after the selected scroll box the pointer may be moved to allow the screen to scroll in a desired direction, col. 7, lines 8-23)

With respect to dependent claim 2, scrolling technique corresponds to a scrolling speed. (Tiphane discloses a elevator bar which is moved to perform scrolling process movement by the user, therefore performing a scrolling speed, col. 6, lines 1-18)

With respect to dependent claim 6, first plurality of scrolling zones is positioned substantially adjacent to a top of the display screen . . . second plurality of scrolling zones is positioned substantially adjacent to a bottom of the display screen . . .

Art Unit: 2672

(Tiphane discloses a menu subsystem with a box menu, i.e. zones, which providing scrolling functions displayed on a screen, col. 4, lines 54-67)

With respect to dependent claim 7, first plurality of scrolling zones is positioned adjacent to a left edge of the display screen . . . second . . . positioned substantially adjacent to a right edge of the display screen . . . (Tiphane discloses in figures 4A and 4B)

With respect to dependent claim 8, providing a third and fourth plurality of user selectable scrolling zones on the display screen, each scrolling zone in the third plurality of scrolling zones associated with a scrolling technique and corresponding to scrolling in a third direction that is different from the first and the second directions. . . (Tiphane discloses a process for jumping and locking onto an elevator bar of a scroll box when a system call for a scroll box is detected and the process determines a call has be detected and call the appropriate scroll box sub-process, col. 6, lines 50-64, therefore making it inherent that any of the boxes may be called in a subroutine to perform a scroll function)

With respect to dependent claim 9, display a first plurality of zone representation on the display screen . . . (Tiphane discloses displaying a menu subsystem which includes buttons, col. 2, lines 30-13)

With respect to dependent claim 10, zone representations indicates a scrolling technique. . . (Tiphane discloses the menu buttons can be used to select vertical scrolling and horizontal scrolling, col. 6, lines 25-39)

Art Unit: 2672

With respect to dependent claim 11, zone representations indicates a boundary of a user selectable scrolling zone. . . (Tiphane discloses a pop up box menu for selection of a scrolling function, col. 5, lines 1-13)

With respect to dependent claim 12, scrolling techniques associated with the scrolling zones are user definable . . . (Tiphane discloses the invention may be augmented by adding menu selections, col. 5, lines 35-37)

With respect to dependent claim 13, user selectable scrolling zones are positioned directly adjacent to one another and spread substantially an entire width of the display screen. (Tiphane discloses in figures 4A and 4B)

With respect to dependent claim 14, user selectable scrolling zones are spaced apart from each other and spread across substantially an entire width of the display screen. (Tiphane discloses a menu subsystem that provides a pop-up box menu on the display screen for selecting a scrolling function, col. 4, lines 54-67)

With respect to dependent claim 15, scrolling zones includes nine scrolling zones organized into three columns and three rows . . . (Tiphane discloses in figures 4A and 4B)

With respect to dependent claim 16, sensing a current position of the screen pointer; identifying a scrolling zone that is positioned near the current position of the screen pointer; and automatically positioning the screen pointer over the identified scrolling zone. (Tiphane discloses calling the application scroll box generated by the pointing subsystem and signaling the menu subsystem to select the scroll button, col. 6, line 56 – col. 7, line 7)

Art Unit: 2672

With respect to dependent claims 17 and 18, providing at least one user selectable action zone . . . varying the display modifying action associated with the at least one action zone . . . (Tiphane discloses the jump subsystem repositions the pointer from the scroll box and transmits a signal to the menu subsystem to remove the pop-up box menu from the display screen after selecting a scroll button for performing a scroll function, col. 5, lines 51-67)

As per independent claim 19 and dependent claim 20, they are rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 1 and dependent claim 2.

As per independent claim 23, a method for scrolling through information displayed on a display screen of an electronic device . . . comprising: receiving mode selection information from a user . . . ; (Tiphane discloses receiving the signal for selection of a scroll button from a user, col. 5, lines 48-53); receiving movement information provided by a user with the screen pointing device; (Tiphane discloses a jump subsystem which repositions the pointer to a elevator bar, col. 5, lines 53-55); determining a first movement direction . . . ; (Tiphane discloses an elevator bar which performs the scroll function in the from the transmitted signal, col. 5, lines 55-58) moving the screen pointer based on the received movement information; and scrolling the displayed information on the display screen . . . (Tiphane discloses a lock subsystem which locks the pointer to the elevator bar, i.e. moving the pointer, and performing the application screen in a desired scroll function based upon the movement of the pointer with the elevator bar, col. 6, lines 10-24)

Art Unit: 2672

With respect to dependent claim 24, wherein at least one of the scrolling zones is defined by hidden boundaries that are invisible to a user of the electronic device.

(Tiphane discloses removing the pop-up box menu from the display screen of the display, col. 5, lines 59-60, therefore making the scroll boxes invisible to a user)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-4 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiphane as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Ishikawa, U.S. Patent Number 5,506,951, "Scroll Bar with Jump Tags", class 345/786, 04/09/1996.

With respect to dependent claims 3 and 4, scrolling technique corresponds to a scrolling granularity. . . scroll granularities include line scrolling, paragraph scrolling, and page scrolling. However, it is noted that Tiphane fails to disclose a scrolling granularity and a scrolling granularity including line scrolling, paragraph scrolling and page scrolling. Ishikawa discloses a jump tag, which performs scrolling by line and page and further discloses a set position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the jump subsystem disclosed in

Art Unit: 2672

Tiphane the line and page scrolling as disclosed in Ishikawa, because Tiphane discloses augmenting the menu selection and to add additional scroll techniques in the menu boxes would provide the user with a variety of selections for scroll techniques.

With respect to dependent claims 21-22, they are rejected based upon similar rational as above dependent claims 3-4 respectively.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-23 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa A. Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2672

Motilewa A. Good-Johnson

Examiner Art Unit 2672

mgj August 15, 2003